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Through close to 100 chapters worldwide and an international secretariat in Berlin, Germany, TI raises awareness of the damaging effects of corruption, and works with partners in government, business and civil society to develop and implement effective measures to tackle it.
The United Nations Convention against Corruption entered into force.

The World Bank stepped up efforts to investigate internal fraud and corruption in its projects.

The Independent Inquiry Committee’s Investigation into the United Nations Oil for Food Programme produced a comprehensive accounting of corruption and complicity in Saddam Hussein’s Iraq.

The European Commission placed higher priority on candidates’ countries’ transparency and accountability in decisions on accession.

Ellen Johnson-Sirleaf was elected President of Liberia on a strong pledge to root out corruption in Africa’s oldest republic.

The international community increasingly recognised that corruption must be tackled if the UN’s Millennium Development Goals for reducing poverty are to be achieved.

International institutions increased the transparency of information on blacklisted companies.

The Group of Eight nations made extensive and detailed proposals that – if followed through – will establish milestones for confronting and stamping out pervasive corruption in Africa.

The Organisation of American States’ Secretary General made tackling corruption a higher priority, noting that it is essential to the development of democracy and rebuilding trust in government.

Business became more aware of the need to fight corruption. 59 companies signed up to the World Economic Forum’s Partnership Against Corruption Initiative in 2005, bringing the total to 87.
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From national governments to international organisations to business groups to citizens, Transparency International has played an important role in changing the way people think about their world. As the dangers and devastation of corruption and unethical behaviour become more apparent, people are increasingly less tolerant.

By raising awareness, undertaking research to demonstrate the nature of corruption and providing practical tools to address it, TI made a real difference in 2005.

### TRANSPARENCY INTERNATIONAL’S MAJOR ACHIEVEMENTS

**2005**

---

**TI chapters in Romania and Bulgaria** used the EU accession process and national-level advocacy strategies to achieve wide-ranging anti-corruption reforms.
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---

**Chapters in the Broader Middle East and North Africa** region formed partnerships with G8 nations to combat corruption in health, education and financial management.
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---

**The Business Principles for Countering Bribery** were launched in China.
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---

**Nigeria and Cameroon** committed to publishing their oil revenues under the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative.

*PAGE 10*

---

**Nine water pipe manufacturers**, representing 80 percent of the market, signed the first Business Sector Transparency Agreement in Argentina.

*PAGE 11*

---

**More countries than ever were included in the Corruption Perceptions Index**, up from 91 in 2001 to 159 in 2005.

*PAGE 16*

---

**Integrity Pacts** were signed to prevent corruption in procurement and construction of Germany’s new international Schönefeld airport and in Paraguay’s national oil company PETROPAR.

*PAGE 5*

---

**TI led the international response to prevent corruption in aid and reconstruction for countries affected by the Indian Ocean tsunami.**

*PAGE 8*

---

**TI issued Minimum Standards for Public Contracting**, a tool to tackle corruption in the building and engineering industries.

*PAGE 14*

---

**TI expanded its Advocacy and Legal Advice Centre programme in Eastern Europe**, bringing the total to 11 centres in seven countries.
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FOREWORD

Corruption ruins lives around the world, denying millions mired in poverty the access to education, health care, clean water and other essential services that would help them escape destitution and build better lives. As President of the Canadian International Development Agency, I witnessed first hand how corruption distorted trade, contributed to the failure of states and led to increased conflict and insecurity.

In my new role as Chair of Transparency International, I have been inspired by the growing global network of talented and dedicated people committed to stamping out graft and malfeasance.

Tremendous progress has already been made. With this growing success has come a deeper understanding of the ingrained nature of corruption and its consequences. Its definition is changing, broadening, as public tolerance decreases, standards and expectations rise, and global awareness of the devastating human impact mounts.

Yet the job is far from done. Shining the light on corrupt practices raises new challenges in determining how to defeat them. New and more complex obstacles lie ahead. Corruption is becoming more sophisticated, more insidious and interconnected. Despite the real gains made by governments, the private sector, international institutions and other organisations, there is still too much talk and not enough action.

Just as the challenges are interwoven, the solutions must be as well. Strong partnerships across borders are fundamental to dismantling embedded networks of corruption that work in concert to pervert the public good for their own benefit. Prevention, effective implementation and monitoring of hard-won anti-corruption laws and conventions must be high on the agenda as the anti-corruption fight moves to a new and even more challenging phase.

Our global priorities – reducing corruption in politics, curbing it in public contracting, advancing international conventions and enhancing anti-corruption standards in the private sector - tackle the deeply rooted nature of corruption throughout the world. A fifth priority, addressing the links between poverty, development and corruption, was added in 2005.

2005 also marked the retirement of Peter Eigen, TI founder, as Chairman of the Board of Directors. He united diverse individuals into a global force, and leaves behind a vibrant, focussed and effective organisation that has moved anti-corruption firmly onto the international agenda. On behalf of the movement that he inspired, I extend our heartfelt thanks. Five other Board members left office in 2005, and leave with the gratitude of their many colleagues and friends. It is also my pleasure to welcome six new members elected in 2005 to the Board of Directors.

In 13 short years, TI has brought corruption out of the shadows and developed constructive and practical solutions to stop it. But this is only the beginning. We must increase our focus on prevention, and on implementing our programmes and improving enforcement of laws, rules and codes.

Our movement is strengthened not just by the common mission that binds us together, but by the diversity of views and experiences that distinguish us.

We have considerable successes to build on. Now we must replicate them around the world.

Huguette Labelle
Chair, Transparency International
As an increasingly influential global movement, Transparency International has lifted corruption out of the shadows and onto the world agenda. Fighting this pandemic is now a high priority for policymakers, and they ignore it at their peril. New leaders are embracing the anti-corruption message and campaigning on its merits amid a growing intolerance of corruption around the world.

Our achievements are mounting. With the December 2005 entry into force of the United Nations Convention against Corruption, we attained an essential goal: a global legal framework that binds nations to mutual cooperation on initiatives such as preventing bribery of foreign officials and the return of stolen assets. Once ratified by all signatory countries, this historic alliance will lay a strong foundation for the pursuit of justice and accountability around the world.

In 2005, international institutions acknowledged the need to address corruption more vigorously in their operations and administration; several politicians were elected on anti-corruption platforms; and civil society increasingly recognised the anti-corruption effort as essential to achieving widespread and lasting poverty reduction. There was greater recognition within the private sector that effective governance and fighting corruption are cornerstones of corporate credibility and reputation. Key anti-poverty campaigners acknowledged that corruption is one of the greatest barriers to lifting millions out of poverty.

Yet the revelations of the United Nations Oil for Food enquiry were sobering proof that laws, conventions and standards are not enough.

The anti-corruption movement is entering a new era where legal measures and incentives linked to firmly rooted ethical values must play increasing roles.

Enforcement and monitoring of hard-won anti-corruption laws must be undertaken with the same degree of commitment that led to their adoption. But strict enforcement cannot replace a firm foundation of ethical principles and practices that must be cultivated throughout society if corruption is to be permanently reduced.

Transparency International's network of national chapters remains the backbone of the anti-corruption movement. 2005 saw a strengthening of chapters’ capacities, drawing lessons from the accreditation review process and deepening their skills, resources and teamwork in order to translate ambitious goals into concrete results.

In 2005 we also continued to strengthen existing relationships with donors and broadened our base of support for the fight against corruption, reflecting increasing recognition of the importance of our work within the donor community.

Corruption remains a powerful threat to the opportunity of millions of men, women and children to enjoy freedom and well-being. In partnership with organisations and individuals around the world, Transparency International is working to make that opportunity a reality for all.
GLOBAL PRIORITIES

ELECTION MONITORING
Transparencia Mexicana designed and implemented a mechanism for monitoring campaign finance, creating a fund to handle income and monitor expenditures. The fund is managed by a bank and supervised by a three-member technical committee, both chosen by Transparencia Mexicana. In 2005, two presidential candidates agreed to allow Transparencia Mexicana to oversee the income and expenditure of their “pre-campaign” committees.

TI Latvia monitored the use of administrative resources by the parties and their candidates in the 2005 municipal election campaign. Monitoring in five municipalities suggested widespread misuse of resources, although the extent depended on the political and media environment of each municipality.

SOUTH KOREA’S ambassador to Washington, Hong Seok-hyun, resigned in 2005 amid allegations that he delivered US $10 million in bribes to presidential candidates during the 1997 Korean election campaign.

Rooting Out Corruption in Politics
Political parties are perceived as the most corrupt sector by people around the world, according to the Global Corruption Barometer 2005. Rooting out and exposing corruption in politics remains a priority for Transparency International.

A key challenge is to prevent corruption in the electoral process. When political leaders are indebted to individuals, organisations and businesses that fund their campaigns, their ability to govern fairly is compromised; they may be expected to offer contracts, political positions or policy favours in return for financial backing. Political parties and candidates need money to operate and to communicate with voters; the challenge is to ensure that the funding does not corrupt the political process.

Following the 2004 launch of Standards on Political Party Finance and Favours, TI convened a meeting of experts and practitioners in 2005 to identify how parties and candidates routinely bypass formal checks on political corruption. These include accounting tricks such as channelling donations through satellite branches of the party, splitting them into amounts just below the disclosure threshold and presenting blatantly false or doctored balance sheets. The findings of the meeting are captured in two Policy Briefs released in 2005, which provide concrete recommendations to ensure that campaign finance regulations are effectively enforced.

Shedding light on the most acute problems, TI’s national chapters help governments design laws and standards to even the field by monitoring campaign spending in national elections. In Latin America, for instance, a project is underway to design ways to assess transparency in the funding of political campaigns.

Another way in which national chapters are breaking ground is by exploring the effects of political corruption on regional and sub-regional governments. The national chapter in Uganda was able to document the pervasiveness of corruption at the local government level and the extent to which it affects decisions on the allocation of vital resources.

See: www.transparency.org/global_priorities/corruption_politics
IN 2005, TI HAD FOUR GLOBAL PRIORITIES:

REDUCING CORRUPTION in politics, public contracting and the private sector, and advancing international conventions against corruption.

Other GLOBAL PROGRAMMES were carried out in development and humanitarian aid, education and access to information.

Curbing Corruption in Public Contracting

Corruption in public contracting plays a significant role in the delivery of goods and services in many countries. With approximately 70 percent of central government expenditure spent on such contracts, the impact of corruption can be enormous. By providing a lucrative area of business for unethical individuals, corruption in public contracting drains vast resources from economic development, fair business, and the fulfillment of citizens’ basic needs.

Transparency International worked in 2005 to strengthen the capacity of national chapters to assess the prevalence of corruption in public contracting and to involve local stakeholders in anti-corruption initiatives. TI Niger, TI Senegal and the Secretariat organized a training workshop to provide participants with the appropriate skills and hands-on expertise in public procurement necessary to move ahead with work in this area.

National chapters undertook a variety of innovative projects to address corruption in public contracting. TI Czech Republic published a study of procurement processes, revealing that more than €1 billion of public funds are lost each year in the Czech Republic due to a lack of transparency in public procurement. Ten national chapters in the Latin America and Caribbean region, together with the Secretariat, developed a tool to observe and measure the risk of corruption in public contracting systems, for example by identifying the extent to which legal provisions may be missing or not applied. The tool is already being used to assess implementation of the Inter-American Convention against Corruption and the United Nations Convention against Corruption.

Corruption in public contracting, with a focus on construction and post-conflict reconstruction, was the subject of the Global Corruption Report 2005. See page 14 for further information. To learn more on anti-corruption initiatives in public contracting, see: www.transparency.org/global_priorities/public_contracting
The third and final report of the Independent Inquiry Committee into the United Nations Oil for Food Programme revealed that over half of the 4,500 companies involved had been investigated for making illegal payments to the government of Saddam Hussein.

Responsible companies are increasingly aware of the need for sound anti-bribery programmes as an integral part of their risk management. Effective implementation of these anti-bribery codes remains a major challenge. Companies with the best of intentions often fail to examine anti-bribery codes in enough detail to ensure their effectiveness, leaving employees to cope with difficult situations without proper guidelines or training. This can be especially problematic for companies with large investments in countries such as China, India or Russia.

In response to the need for effective implementation, in 2002 TI published Business Principles for Countering Bribery, a voluntary anti-bribery code developed in co-operation with leading multinational corporations and other stakeholders. The Business Principles provide a model of good practice for companies looking to develop their own policies, and provide a benchmark for those with existing anti-bribery programmes. In 2005, industries in Argentina and Colombia implemented anti-bribery policies based upon the Business Principles. For more information, see page 11.

The combination of increased enforcement of anti-bribery laws and the inclusion of anti-bribery in the broader corporate social responsibility agenda is creating fertile ground for the implementation of codes of conduct such as Business Principles. To ensure that these codes are meaningful and credible, companies must be able to verify that their codes are effective. In many instances stakeholders will want third-party confirmation that a company’s anti-bribery programme is robust enough to deter corruption. To address these concerns, in 2005 TI began to develop self-evaluation and external independent verification tools.

More on TI’s work in the private sector can be found at: www.transparency.org/global_priorities/private_sector
Establishing and Implementing International Conventions

The damaging impact of corruption is worldwide and cross-border, demanding an international legal framework to effectively combat it. Anti-corruption conventions provide that framework of rules and standards to facilitate international action and cooperation against corruption. Transparency International actively promoted the adoption of the UN Convention against Corruption (UNCAC), the OECD Anti-Bribery Convention and regional anti-corruption conventions, and is now working on monitoring and enforcement.

Through the International Secretariat and the global network of national chapters, TI has been involved in the development of anti-corruption conventions from the negotiation phase to their translation into law and application. Since monitoring of implementation is critical to their success, TI also actively supported and contributed to intergovernmental monitoring, as well as conducting its own independent monitoring. TI's ongoing work will help ensure the conventions' effectiveness and maintain the political will and momentum necessary for real impact.

A key activity in 2005 was the development of proposals for monitoring the UN Convention against Corruption. An expert Study Group convened by TI provided ideas and advice in the development of proposals to be submitted to the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC), the agency responsible for UNCAC follow-up. The aim is to ensure that at the UNCAC Conference of States Parties in early December 2006, the first steps are taken towards effective monitoring.

2005 also saw the preparation of TI's first Progress Report on OECD Convention Enforcement, designed to generate external scrutiny of signatory governments' progress to date and to help strengthen the peer review process. This annual report is based on national expert responses to a questionnaire about enforcement developed by TI. The 2005 report provides an overview of enforcement in 24 countries, along with an assessment of obstacles and recommendations for improvements.
Preventing Corruption in Aid and Development

Corruption in aid diminishes the speed and effectiveness of the world’s response in the wake of catastrophic natural disasters. Diversion of relief supplies from affected communities, inequitable distribution of aid and sub-standard or inappropriately located infrastructure are just a few of the ways corruption in aid is manifested. Preventing corruption in relief and reconstruction efforts is key to ensuring effective and equitable assistance to those in greatest need. Through its global network of national chapters, Transparency International is uniquely placed to address this issue from both the supply and the demand side, in donor and recipient countries.

In 2005, TI played an early and active role in ensuring that the outpouring of public and private aid to assist victims of the massive Indian Ocean tsunami was not diverted by corruption. The need to monitor huge inflows of aid funds for disaster assistance became clear following the generous and immediate global response to the tsunami in December 2004. With the doubling of revenue at some aid agencies, an increase in aid to national and local governments, and pressure to distribute funds and undertake projects promptly, Transparency International played an invaluable role in assessing the risk of corruption and promoting the monitoring of aid funds.

Experience gained through that work is being applied to curbing corruption in reconstruction following the devastating earthquake in Pakistan, as well as in post-conflict reconstruction in the Middle East.

Fighting corruption is not only essential to improving aid effectiveness but also to reducing poverty. The interconnectedness of these issues led TI to create a new global priority in 2005: Poverty and Development. Its primary aim is to raise awareness of the intrinsic connection between corruption, poverty and development by calling on governments to deliver on the promises of the United Nations Millennium Development Goals. This new global priority will include several sectoral programmes in 2006: corruption in humanitarian aid, development aid, health, education, and water. For more information, see: www.transparency.org/global_priorities/aid_corruption

The Indian Ocean tsunami caused an unprecedented catastrophe, destroying thousands of coastal communities in India, Indonesia, Malaysia, Maldives, Sri Lanka, and Thailand, and claiming over 200,000 human lives. The cost of recovery for the affected region has been estimated at US $11.5 billion.
In 2005, Transparency International issued a report, Stealing the Future, that presented ten case studies by chapters in Argentina, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Brazil, Georgia, Mexico, Nepal, Nicaragua, Niger, Sierra Leone and Zambia. The studies assess the forms and extent of corruption at schools and universities, and in education administration, providing hands-on examples of how civil society can help curb corrupt practices to ensure that children receive a quality education. Corruption in education affects a nation’s social and economic development, and the happiness and well-being of its people. The educational levels of its workforce affect its ability to perform in the global economy. For poor families, education is often the only way to escape poverty and increase social mobility. Education about corruption is the key to its long-term prevention. To shape the views of future generations, many national chapters in the Asia Pacific region have targeted young people through informal and formal educational activities. This included coordination of Youth against Corruption initiatives in Korea, Pakistan, Papua New Guinea and Thailand, as well as essay, poster and other competitions in Pakistan, Papua New Guinea and Vanuatu. Reaching out to an even younger group, TI Thailand has developed children’s storybooks on governance. Stealing the Future – Corruption in the Classroom, as well as links to further resources, can be found at: www.transparency.org/global_priorities/education/corruption_education

On «Right to Know Day» in September 2005, chapters in Canada, Guatemala and the United States presented independent reports on access to information to the Committee of Experts of the Organization of American States (OAS). The Committee is responsible for monitoring country compliance with the Inter-American Convention against Corruption.

On  «Right to Know Day» in September 2005, Transparency International supported countries in Africa and the Middle East in promoting greater access to information. National chapters in Burundi, Cameroon, Jordan, Morocco and Palestine drafted new laws, while other chapters, including Ghana, Lebanon and Sudan, raised awareness through radio advertisements, public workshops and discussions. On a global level, TI joined forces with a coalition of civil society organisations – the Global Transparency Initiative – to promote greater transparency and information disclosure in international financial organisations. Hundreds of citizens in Ghana participated in call-in programmes on how to request information from government authorities. Journalists in Lebanon received information on the topic and published articles calling on the government to adopt a freedom of information regime. Within the context of preparation of a new constitution, citizens, academics, government and journalists held discussions on freedom of expression and information in Sudan. For more, see: www.transparency.org/global_priorities/access_information
Our Regional Work

Africa and the Middle East

Despite recent progress on democracy and human rights in a number of African countries, corruption remains one of the biggest challenges throughout the continent. Transparency International chapters in Africa have become integral pillars of national integrity in their respective countries by demanding greater accountability from government and advocating reforms in governance and management of public resources.

The annual Kenya Bribery Index, produced by TI Kenya, captures the experiences of ordinary citizens through their interactions with public and private officials. In 2005, the frequency of bribery declined in Kenya due, in part, to more citizens refusing to pay. Forum Civil, the TI chapter in Senegal, spotlighted corruption in the health system in a major report that identified conflicts of interests, struggles for power and bribes demanded of patients by doctors, pharmacists and hospital administrative and support officials. The Ghana Integrity Initiative continued its awareness raising and advocacy work for review of laws controlling public contracting.

TI national chapters in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) have implemented a wide variety of educational programmes designed to raise awareness of corruption and promote access to information. The Lebanese Transparency Association gave special attention to monitoring the country’s 2005 parliamentary elections, extending the project to support the work of the newly-created National Commission for the Electoral Law. TI Morocco published its third book on corruption and education in 2005, distributing 5,000 copies to schools and educational associations. AMAN – the TI chapter in Palestine – organised a workshop on the right of Palestinian citizens to information, and produced a draft law that was later submitted to the Palestinian Legislative Council. Countries in the Broader MENA region cooperated with civil society organisations from the Group of Eight nations to combat corruption in health, education, and public financial management. To read more, see: www.transparency.org/regional_pages/africa_middle_east

The estimated annual cost of corruption to African economies exceeds US $148 billion. This is thought to represent 25 percent of Africa’s GDP and to increase the cost of goods by as much as 20 percent. The Economist
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According to the National Index on Corruption and Governance, the cost of bribes paid by low-income Mexican homes in 2005 was 24 percent of household earnings.

Americas
Curbing corruption requires constant innovation. The Americas department continues to promote peer learning in the region, focusing on the exchange of information on developments and results of national and regional projects and activities. Together with national chapters and contacts in the region, the department advances ways to confront corruption in its regional and national manifestations.

New fronts in the region’s fight against corruption in 2005 were the private sector and local governance. To better address the multi-faceted nature of corruption, the Americas department and the national chapter network in Latin America and the Caribbean involved the private sector in anti-corruption efforts through, for example, the Business Principles for Countering Bribery and the use of Integrity Pacts. In local governance, various national chapters identified a greater risk of corruption due to increased government budgets following decentralisation.

Core work in areas such as campaign finance and promoting anti-corruption conventions included designing tools and monitoring processes for the implementation of international treaties and the transparency of political party finances. To read more, see: www.transparency.org/regional_pages/americas

Local Governance
Transparency and integrity in local governments fosters community development in countries where decentralisation is underway. National chapters in Colombia, Guatemala, Nicaragua, Peru and Venezuela have developed tools, including assessment methodologies and corruption risk mapping, designed to raise awareness, facilitate the accountability of local authorities, increase transparency in the decision-making process, strengthen local institutions and improve civil society participation. Based on these experiences, TI is developing a set of transparency and integrity standards for Latin American municipalities.
**Regional Work**

**Engaging Business in China**
Sustained growth in China’s economy and concerns expressed by Chinese political and business leaders about corruption prompted TI to launch a Chinese translation of the Business Principles for Countering Bribery in Beijing in September 2005. It was very well received by the State-Owned Asset Supervision and Administration Commission and other state offices. At the Global Compact Summit in Shanghai in November 2005, Business Against Corruption – a framework for action was launched jointly by TI, the Global Compact and the International Business Leaders Forum.

**Fed up with corruption in Cambodia**
A 2005 study by TI Cambodia found a major change in the public’s attitude about corruption. Only four percent agreed with the statement «corruption is a fact of life; it is the normal way of doing things», down from 68 percent in 1998.

**Diagnosing Corruption through Surveys**
Chapters in Cambodia, India, Indonesia, Japan, Pakistan and Taiwan conducted national public opinion surveys in 2005. TI India surveyed 14,405 respondents in 20 states on their perceptions of integrity in public services. The police, lower courts and land administration were rated most corrupt among 11 public services surveyed.

TI Indonesia’s survey compared perceptions of corruption in different provinces, as did TI Pakistan, whose national survey covered four provinces with 3,000 respondents. TI Taiwan developed a new set of benchmarks to measure progress in the fight against corruption and provide a clearer connection between anti-corruption campaigns and improvements in the accountability of public institutions.

**Asia Pacific**
A priority focus of the Asia Pacific department in 2005 was preventing corruption in disaster relief. The need for a coordinated effort to monitor the flow of aid funds was immediately obvious following the devastating Indian Ocean tsunami. To this end, TI convened an Expert Meeting on Preventing Corruption in Tsunami Relief in Indonesia in April 2005. Jointly organised by TI, the Asian Development Bank and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, the meeting brought together representatives of government and civil society from the six most affected countries, as well as major humanitarian aid organisations and experts, to identify concrete measures to curb corruption.

From this meeting came a set of guiding principles for all stakeholders – victims, donors, governments and civil society – to prevent corruption in the delivery of relief and reconstruction assistance to affected areas. The meeting’s conclusions and recommendations were published in Curbing Corruption in Tsunami Relief Operations. Although developed from the experience and lessons of the tsunami, the recommendations are relevant to other disaster recovery efforts. TI examined how the experience and lessons of the tsunami could be applied to the catastrophic earthquake in Pakistan in October 2005.

Regional projects and training programmes are underway to develop the capacity of the region’s chapters to curb corruption in procurement and to undertake National Integrity System studies in up to 10 Southeast and East Asian countries.

The Asia Pacific department’s new network for TI in Asia Pacific – TIAP – launched a new website in 2005 to showcase chapter and regional activity and serve as a portal for chapter websites. It can be accessed online at: www.transparency.org/regional_pages/asia_pacific
The annual EU budget is nearly €100 billion, approximately 76 percent of which is transferred to member states for disbursement. This mode of distribution typically involves a higher potential risk for abuse. To promote transparent use of EU structural support funds in new member states, the Europe and Central Asia department coordinated the Transparency Through Awareness project, with funding from the European Commission. Chapters in Czech Republic, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland and Slovakia raised awareness of the importance of transparent management and use of structural support funds in their countries.

In 2005, 11 Advocacy and Legal Advice Centres in seven countries countered the notion that people are resigned to corruption. The centres demonstrate that, armed with simple, credible and viable mechanisms to fight back, people become actively involved.

Ongoing expansion of the European Union (EU) was a primary focus of the Europe and Central Asia department in 2005. The accession process presents new opportunities to address corruption as well as new challenges. In South East Europe and the Caucasus, the hope of EU accession plays a key role in pushing anti-corruption reforms. Chapters in Romania and Bulgaria successfully campaigned for wide-ranging anti-corruption reforms, using the EU accession process and external pressure on governments in combination with national level advocacy strategies. Conversely, the vast amount of EU funds disbursed to member states generates more opportunity for corruption and fraud.

In the Caucasus, much of TI’s work in 2005 focused on strengthening the participation of citizens in government decision making. TI Georgia brought thousands of citizens together in public meetings on legislative and reform issues. Concerns and input were then fed back to the central government. TI Armenia developed and promoted mechanisms for citizens to monitor public services in five cities.

Western Balkans Accountability Programme

Through TI’s Western Balkans Accountability Programme, chapters in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, FYR Macedonia and Serbia helped to achieve passage of national access to information legislation and conflict of interest regulations. National chapters in these countries trained public officials, journalists and civil society activists on corruption-related issues, helping to consolidate their positions as the leading anti-corruption organisations in their countries. With legislation now in place, the chapters’ focus has turned to monitoring its implementation.

Whistleblowing

Whistleblowers play a vital role in exposing corruption, demonstrating personal integrity and courage in standing up for the truth, often in the face of great personal danger. In Europe, a number of chapters work to support these courageous individuals: TI Germany has built a coalition to lobby for stronger legal whistleblower rights; TI Switzerland has helped change the political climate to reflect the importance of protecting whistleblowers; and TI France has published a comprehensive and comparative overview of existing legislation on whistleblower rights around the world. For further information, see: www.transparency.org/regional_pages/europe_central_asia

A 2005 investigation into the terrorist attack on School #1 in Beslan, Russia, alleged that corrupt police officers facilitated the crossing of border check-points for the terrorists. Of the more than 1,100 children, parents and staff taken hostage, 331 were killed.
Our Policy and Research Work

Policy and Research

The Policy and Research department produces and coordinates a wide range of research tools, indices and publications that enhance understanding of the extent and nature of corruption worldwide, identifying sectors most at risk and opportunities for reform. The department also issues Policy Positions on key corruption topics. In 2005, Policy Positions offered standards on corruption in political party finance and in public contracting.

Global Corruption Report 2005

The Global Corruption Report 2005 focussed international attention on the devastating costs of corruption in the construction sector. Bribes raise the cost and lower the quality of infrastructure, swelling the economic cost of this US $3.2 trillion global sector.

But the cost of corruption is also felt in lost lives. Damage from natural disasters such as earthquakes is magnified when corrupt inspectors accept bribes to ignore building and planning regulations. Throughout the developing world, corruption steers money away from programmes meant to benefit the people – health and education, for instance – into the pockets of a corrupt few. Public contracting is especially vulnerable in post-conflict situations such as Iraq and Afghanistan, which are marred by weak government structures, an influx of donor funds and the need to buy the support of former combatants.

The Global Corruption Report 2005 served as the launch pad for TI’s Minimum Standards on Public Contracting, which calls on governments to ensure transparency throughout contracting processes. To download the Global Corruption Report 2005, visit:

www.transparency.org/publications/gcr/download_gcr/download_gcr_2005
Global Corruption Barometer

The 2005 Global Corruption Barometer, a public opinion survey carried out for TI by Gallup International as part of their Voice of the People Survey, covered 69 countries and assessed the general public's perceptions of and experience with corruption. It showed which sectors of society are seen as the most corrupt, whether corruption is perceived to have increased or decreased over time, and whether it is likely to be more or less prevalent in the future. The Barometer also reported citizens' personal experience of bribery and assessed its cost and form. To see the complete results of the 2005 Global Corruption Barometer, visit: www.transparency.org/policy_research/surveys_indices/gcb

Anti-Corruption Handbook

Access to information is an essential element in successfully challenging corrupt practice. In 2005, TI provided key information to those working to counter corruption around the world via its online Anti-Corruption Handbook (ACH). The ACH is a one-stop reference point, providing guidelines for the effective implementation of anti-corruption measures as well as examples of good practice. Written in a practical, hands-on style, the ACH covers a range of topics, including the role of the media in countering corruption, political party and campaign financing, public sector codes of conduct, access to information, government anti-corruption strategies, supreme audit institutions, anti-corruption education and corporate governance. See: www.transparency.org/policy_and_research/ach

National Integrity System Country Studies

In 2005, TI increased its in-depth country analysis of corruption via the National Integrity System country studies. These qualitative reports provide a detailed and nuanced analysis of anti-corruption systems at the national level. The studies cover more than 50 countries and are an important assessment tool to complement TI's global indices and surveys. They explore specific practices and constraints within countries, helping to diagnose corruption risks and anti-corruption strengths. The studies create a strong empirical basis on which to promote better governance across all aspects of a society, enabling the formulation of targeted and effective national anti-corruption reforms. For more information, visit: www.transparency.org/policy_and_research/nis

U4 Helpdesk

The U4 Helpdesk, located at the TI Secretariat in Berlin, services the professional needs of ministries of international development by providing expert answers to questions on corruption and governance issues in the legal, economic, political and social fields. In 2005, the U4 Helpdesk provided detailed responses to queries on a wide range of topics, including the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative, corruption in the construction sector, compliance with the UN Convention against Corruption and the link between corruption and deregulation. These authoritative answers, drafted in collaboration with experts around the globe, facilitated greater understanding of corruption and how best to reduce it. To read the queries and responses, see: www.u4.no/helpdesk/main.cfm
The CPI 2005 score results from a composite of surveys providing rankings for each country. These surveys convey the perceptions of the degree of corruption as seen by business people and country analysts, ranging from 10 (highly clean) to 0 (highly corrupt). "Surveys Used" refers to the number of surveys that assessed a country's performance. Sixteen surveys and expert assessments were used and at least three were required for a country to be included in the CPI.
The «confidence range» reflects how a country’s score may vary, depending on measurement precision. Statistically, it means that there is an estimated 90 percent probability that the data lies within this range. However, particularly when only a few sources are available, an unbiased estimate of the mean coverage probability is lower than the nominal value of 90 percent, ranging from 65.3 percent with three sources to 81.8 percent with seven sources. TI is advised in relation to the CPI by a group of international specialists. Statistical work on the index was coordinated by Professor Graf Lambsdorff. Details are available at: www.transparency.org/surveys/index.html#cpi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>CPI 2005 Score</th>
<th>Surveys Used</th>
<th>Confidence range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.1 - 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.7 - 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.3 - 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.3 - 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moldova</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.3 - 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.6 - 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.5 - 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.5 - 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.9 - 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.3 - 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.4 - 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbia and Montenegro</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.5 - 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gambia</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.3 - 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macedonia</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.4 - 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swaziland</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.0 - 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yemen</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.4 - 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belarus</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.9 - 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eritrea</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.7 - 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.2 - 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.2 - 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.4 - 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palestine</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.1 - 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.4 - 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.3 - 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.3 - 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.1 - 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.6 - 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.3 - 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.2 - 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.1 - 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.0 - 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libya</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.0 - 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.9 - 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.3 - 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.2 - 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.1 - 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.2 - 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.3 - 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.1 - 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.1 - 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.9 - 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo, Republic</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.1 - 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.0 - 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyrgyzstan</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.1 - 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papua New Guinea</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.9 - 2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>CPI 2005 Score</th>
<th>Surveys Used</th>
<th>Confidence range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.2 - 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azerbaijan</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.9 - 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.0 - 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.0 - 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.1 - 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.5 - 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.1 - 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uzbekistan</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.1 - 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo, Dem. Republic</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.8 - 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.9 - 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.7 - 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.9 - 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.6 - 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.9 - 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tajikistan</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.9 - 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.8 - 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cote d’Ivoire</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.7 - 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.6 - 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.7 - 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.5 - 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.7 - 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkmenistan</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.7 - 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.4 - 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.3 - 2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The «confidence range» reflects how a country’s score may vary, depending on measurement precision. Statistically, it means that there is an estimated 90 percent probability that the data lies within this range. However, particularly when only a few sources are available, an unbiased estimate of the mean coverage probability is lower than the nominal value of 90 percent, ranging from 65.3 percent when there are three sources to 81.8 percent with seven sources. TI is advised in relation to the CPI by a group of international specialists. Statistical work on the index was coordinated by Professor Graf Lambsdorff. Details are available at: www.transparency.org/surveys/index.html#cpi
Increasing media presence

Transparency International is an organisation with global media impact. Information from national chapter and secretariat press releases, statistics, tools, surveys and indices are quoted by media from all corners of the world, from the The New York Times to The New Straits Times, The East African Standard, Clarín, CNN, BBC and more.

« Thanks to organisations like Transparency International ... those who used to perpetuate corruption without fear have now become the hunted. »

IPR Strategic Business Information Database

The success of Transparency International in introducing anti-corruption into public debate around the world is reflected in the increasing number of chapters. In 1995, only five national chapters existed. By 2005, TI was present in close to 100 countries. A comprehensive accreditation review policy ensures accountability, integrity and adherence to TI’s mission and principles across the international anti-corruption movement. Chapters are highly autonomous, locally-established and locally-governed civil society organisations. Around the globe, they act as agents of change towards a world free of corruption. In many countries, TI is the only civil society organisation that focusses exclusively on corruption and good governance.
TV advertisement

On 9 December 2005, the second annual International Anti-Corruption Day, Transparency International released a television advertisement bearing the message: «Think you can’t fight corruption? Think again!»

The ad challenges the view that corruption is inevitable, focussing on how corruption in public services, education and the health sector affects real people. It reminds the viewer that corruption is not a victimless crime. The spot was released in English, Spanish, Arabic and Chinese, and has been airing on national broadcasters around the globe and on international networks such as CNN.

www.transparency.org

The International Secretariat re-launched its website, www.transparency.org, on International Anti-Corruption Day. The new site integrates all TI online resources and features new information tools including In Focus, a regular in-depth look at a timely issue in anti-corruption; Global Priority pages; and regional pages that provide useful and timely information on TI’s work around the world. The launch responds to the need for easily accessible information on corruption and ways to fight it.

Transparency International’s website continues to attract a growing global public audience. In 2005, the website received 1,660,000 visits, a monthly average of 138,000. Each day 4,500 visitors access the site.
INTEGRITY  n. (in-te-gr&-tE)
Firm adherence to a code of especially moral or artistic values: incorruptibility.
Synonym: see HONESTY.

Based on the number of online searches, the Merriam-Webster Dictionary's 2005 Word of the Year was integrity. Other top searches were refugee, pandemic and tsunami, all terms linked to the year's top news stories. But integrity tops the list, a clear indication that people everywhere are concerned about corruption and ethics.

For Transparency International, of course, integrity is the word of the decade. Each year TI recognises the bravery, determination and selfless commitment of individuals and organisations that fight corruption around the world, often at great personal risk. Journalists, civil society activists and whistleblowers who investigate and unmask corruption have been honoured since the programme began in 2000. In 2005, two individuals and one organisation were honoured.

The Awards are more than symbolic. In some countries the Integrity Awards have led to a change of laws. Past winners have tackled corrupt practices in the pharmaceutical industry, exposed an oil-and-supplies scam in the military, taken on corruption in the tax system and pioneered the introduction of a new judicial management system. To see a film documenting the winners, go to: www1.transparency.org/media_files/shadow_stream.html

Winners 2005

Abdou Latif Coulibaly
Abdou Latif Coulibaly, an eminent journalist who, for ten years, has devoted himself to the fight against corruption, was nominated by TI's national chapter in Senegal, Forum Civil.
Mr Coulibaly is the head of the first Senegalese private press group and an outspoken contributor to the fight against corruption. He brought to light large financial scandals, embezzlement cases and irregularities in public contracting. Since publishing a book in 2003 on the government's poor governance practices, he has suffered serious pressures and received death threats.

The Movement for Quality Government (MQG)
The Movement for Quality Government (MQG) was nominated by SHVIL Israel, the Israeli chapter of Transparency International. MQG is the nation's pre-eminent government watchdog organisation, increasing public awareness of corruption in Israel. This non-partisan independent movement keeps the pressure on the government to address social and civic issues despite the government's preoccupation with security issues. MQG helped instil principles of sound public administration and quality government by shining the light on secret coalition agreements and exposing financial arrangements connected to the state's annual budget. The Award was accepted by Michael Partam, shown here.

Khairiansyah Salman
Khairiansyah Salman, a former auditor at the Supreme Audit Agency (BPK), was nominated by TI Indonesia for his extraordinary courage in revealing corruption at the Indonesian Electoral Commission. Mr Salman uncovered a US $2.1 million scandal in the General Electoral Commission that involved virtually all its members.
Mr Salman has now been formally accused of corruption by the Prosecutor's Office. As a consequence, he has returned his Integrity Award in order to protect the reputation of the award and of Transparency International. TI appreciates his consideration in doing so as he seeks to clear his name.

Photos: TI
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WHERE THE MONEY COMES FROM


TOTAL INCOME: 7,068 (2005), 6,500 (2004)

Special Projects includes TI's involvement in the biannual International Anti-Corruption Conference (IACC).

WHERE THE MONEY GOES

- Africa and the Middle East: 1,244 (2005), 1,069 (2004)
- Europe and Central Asia: 814 (2005), 1,324 (2004)


Transparency International e.V. (TI) is a not-for-profit association registered in Berlin, Germany. In compliance with its charter, TI serves solely charitable purposes and is tax exempt. TI's audited accounts are available on its web site, [www.transparency.org](http://www.transparency.org).
We would like to express our following partners who made in 2005

Governments, Development Organisations and Foundations

**Over € 200,000**
- Australian Agency for International Development (AusAID)
- Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA)
- Department for International Development, United Kingdom (DFID)
- European Commission
- Foreign & Commonwealth Office, United Kingdom (FCO)
- Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development, Germany (BMZ)
- Ministry for Foreign Affairs, Finland
- Ministry for Foreign Affairs, The Netherlands
- Norwegian Agency for International Development (NORAD)
- Royal Danish Ministry of Foreign Affairs (DANIDA)
- Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (SIDA)
- Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC)

**Between € 50,000 and 200,000**
- AVINA Group, Switzerland
- Christian Michelsen Institute (CMI), Norway
- Federal Foreign Office, Germany
- The Ford Foundation, USA
- Government of Guatemala
- Irish Aid
- OSI Development Foundation, Switzerland
- U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID)

**Between € 10,000 and 50,000**
- European Investment Bank (EIB)
- German Corporation for Technical Cooperation, Germany (GTZ)
- Starr Foundation, USA
- Tinker Foundation, USA
- Tsinghua University, China
- United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)
- United Nations Foundation

Thank you very much for your contribution

Please refer to the Audited Accounts 2005 for a detailed breakdown of contributions to TI. Classification under the headlines corresponds to amounts recorded as income in the financial report for 2005.
deep gratitude to the our work possible

Private Sector
TI gratefully acknowledges the generous contributions of the participants in the Global Corporations for Transparency International (GCTI) initiative: Anglo American, Exxon, General Electric, Lafarge, Merck, Nexen, Norsk Hydro, SAP, Shell, Sovereign Global Development, UBS.

Companies participating in this initiative typically contribute €50,000 per year to Transparency International.

TI acknowledges the generous contributions to our project work by SAP and Sovereign Global Development beyond their support within the GCTI initiative.

Participants in private sector projects

Individuals and Other Donors
TI sincerely appreciates the generous contributions of: William F. Biggs, USA, Hartmut Fischer, Germany, Arnesto Goncalves Segredo, The Netherlands, Basel Institute on Governance, Center for international Private Enterprise (CIPE), USA, Deutsche Investitions- und Entwicklungsgesellschaft (DEG), European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD), Fondation Pro Victimis, Switzerland, Gesamtverband Kommunikationsagenturen (GWA), Germany, IHK Frankfurt, Germany, KPMG, Lahmeyer International, Ministry for Foreign Affairs, Norway, Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade New Zealand (NZAID), Partners of the Americas, Stockholm International Water Institute, The World Bank.

Transparency International expresses its sincere gratitude to the many individuals and organisations who each donated up to €1,000 in 2005.

The Secretariat gratefully acknowledges the generous contribution of the TI national chapter in Germany.

Transparency International would also like to extend its gratitude to the law firm of Covington and Burling for its generosity in providing pro-bono legal assistance.

Make a donation

The support TI receives from individuals is extremely valuable. If you would like to show your support for the international anti-corruption movement, you may donate to TI by credit card or by bank transfer.

ONLINE
Donate to TI online using your VISA or Mastercard. Please visit our secure online donations page at www.transparency.org/donate

BANK TRANSFER
If you would like to give to TI by bank transfer, please use the following account information:

For donations in Euros
Account Number: 09 33 21 45 00

For donations in US Dollars
Account Number: 09 33 21 45 00 / 400

For donations in British Pounds
Account Number: 09 33 21 45 00 / 006

Account holder
Transparency International e. V.

Bank Name: Dresdner Bank AG
Bank code: 100 800 00
SWIFT Code: DRES DE BB
IBAN: DE64 1008 0000 0933 2145 00
Bank address: Pariser Platz 6, 10117 Berlin

If you would like to discuss alternative ways of supporting TI, such as donating stock or making a contribution to the TI Endowment Fund, please contact:

Patrick Mahassen Resources Director
Telephone: +49 30 3438 2039
E-mail: pmahassen@transparency.org
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>National Chapter</th>
<th>Contact Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azerbaijan</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahrain</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>National Contact</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>National Contact</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>National Contact</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>National Contact</td>
<td>[Details provided]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

National Chapter contact information as of 1 June 2006.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>National Chapter</th>
<th>Contact Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>Corporación Latinoamericana para el desarrollo, Vivanco, P.O. Box 17-12-00609 Quito, Ecuador</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>National Contact</td>
<td>Society “Corruption-free Estonia” c/o Jaan Tõrson Institute Pärnu mnt 67, 10135 Tallinn, Estonia Mr. Aki Laisus, Executive Director Phone +372 50 82 997 <a href="mailto:aga.laisus@tele.ee">aga.laisus@tele.ee</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>National Contact</td>
<td>TRAT Media and Communications Centre (MCC) Addis Ababa, Ethiopia Mr. Amane Anegawi Phone +251 21 43 1506 +251 241 43 157 <a href="mailto:amaneangawi@hotmail.com">amaneangawi@hotmail.com</a> <a href="mailto:moco@telecom.net.et">moco@telecom.net.et</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>Transparency International Fiji G.P.O. Box 14624, Suva, Fiji Islands Mr. Apenisa Naigulevu, Executive Officer Phone +679 338 0336 Fax +679 338 0986 <a href="mailto:executiveofficer@transparencyfij.org">executiveofficer@transparencyfij.org</a> <a href="http://www.transparencyfij.org">www.transparencyfij.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>National Chapter in Formation</td>
<td>c/o Muddanag Consulting Oy Hiirilaankatu 11, 02160 Espoo, Finland Dr. Antti Pihlajamaki, Chair Phone +358 4 55 91391 <a href="mailto:info@transparency.fi">info@transparency.fi</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>Transparency International France Immeuble KPMG 18 Bis rue de Villiers, 92 300 Levallois, France Mr. Daniel Lebégue, Chair Phone +33 1 47 58 82 08 <a href="mailto:transparence@france.fr">transparence@france.fr</a> <a href="http://www.transparencie-france.org">www.transparencie-france.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>Transparency International Georgia 26, Rustaveli Ave 0108, Tbilisi, Georgia Mr. Mark Mullen, Chair Phone +995 32 92 14 03 +995 32 93 21 29 +995 32 99 93 35 Fax +995 32 92 14 03 <a href="mailto:info@transparency.ge">info@transparency.ge</a> <a href="http://www.transparency.ge">www.transparency.ge</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>Transparency International Deutschland Alter Schillerhaus Str. 44 10318 Berlin, Germany Dr. Hansjörg Elshorst, Chair Ms. Dagmar Schröder, Managing Director Phone +49 30 549 8890 Fax +49 30 5496 9822 <a href="mailto:office@transparency.de">office@transparency.de</a> <a href="http://www.transparency.de">www.transparency.de</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>Transparency International Greece/Dithnis Diafani–Hellas 5-7, Efrosini St., 11634 Athens, Greece Ms. Virginia Tsouderos, Chair Phone +30 210 722 9420 Fax +30 210 722 9497 <a href="mailto:telhas@otenet.gr">telhas@otenet.gr</a> <a href="http://www.transparency.gr">www.transparency.gr</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>National Chapter in Formation</td>
<td>Avenida La Reforma 12-01, Zona 11 Edificio Reforma Montúfar, Nivel 17 Ciudad de Guatemala C.A. 01010 Guatemala Mr. Manfredo Marroquin, Executive Director Phone +502 331 7566 Fax +502 331 7576 <a href="mailto:accionp@intele.net.gt">accionp@intele.net.gt</a> <a href="http://www.accionciudadana.org.gt">www.accionciudadana.org.gt</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>Association Guinéenne pour la transparence Mr. Mamadou Tarawally, Chair Phone +224 6 28 281 548 <a href="mailto:mstarawaly@yahoo.fr">mstarawaly@yahoo.fr</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>La Fondation Héritage pour Haïti (LHFH) Le Centre pour l’Ethique et l’Intégrité Publique et Privée Bolte Postale 16136 Petion Ville, Haïti HT 6140, West Indies Ms. Marilyn Alién Phone/Fax +509 513 7089 marilynalié<a href="mailto:n@yahoo.com">n@yahoo.com</a> <a href="mailto:heritagehaiti@yahoo.com">heritagehaiti@yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>Transparency International India Balwantray Mehta Bhawan ASMA, G. K. Pant II, New Delhi 48, India Dr. S.K. Agarwal Phone +91 11 2202 4519 Fax +91 11 2646 8025 <a href="mailto:tindia@globalmail.com">tindia@globalmail.com</a> <a href="http://www.tindia.in">www.tindia.in</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>Transparency International Indonesia Jalan Tudunglal Bara C2 Jakarta 12190, Indonesia Mr. Resi Klubowo, Deputy Executive Director Phone +62 21 527 8435 +62 21 527 8437 +62 21 527 9516 Fax +62 21 573 6428 <a href="mailto:rkwibowo@ti.or.id">rkwibowo@ti.or.id</a> <a href="http://www.ti.or.id">www.ti.or.id</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>National Chapter in Formation</td>
<td>School of Business, Trinity College University of Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland Mr. John Dewitt, Acting CEO Phone +353 16 08 37 05 <a href="mailto:info@transparency.ie">info@transparency.ie</a> <a href="http://www.transparency.ie">www.transparency.ie</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>SHIVL – Transparency International (TI) Tel Aviv University, Faculty of Management Tel Aviv 69978, Israel Ms. Susanie Tam, CEO Phone/Fax +972 3 640 9176 <a href="mailto:shuvi@ti-israel.org">shuvi@ti-israel.org</a> <a href="http://www.ti-israel.org">www.ti-israel.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>Transparency International Italia Via Zanaglia 19, 20428 M. Ilario, Italy Ms. Maria Teresa Brassiolo, Chair Phone +39 02 4009 3560 Fax +39 02 406829 <a href="mailto:info@transparency.it">info@transparency.it</a> <a href="http://www.transparency.it/">www.transparency.it/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>Transparency International Japan 1-1, San-ri-cho, Shinhuku-ku, Tokyo 160-0008, Hashizume Bldg. 2F, Japan Mr. Tatsuro Kuroda, Managing Director Phone +81 3 5368 1691 Fax +81 3 5368 1692 <a href="mailto:information@ti-j.org">information@ti-j.org</a> <a href="http://www.ti-j.org">www.ti-j.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>National Chapter in Formation</td>
<td>P.O. Box 81545, Amman, Jordan Mr. Basem Sakijha P.O. Box 81545, Amman, Jordan Mr. Basem Sakijha Phone +962 6 465 6682 Fax +962 6 465 6682 <a href="mailto:basem@nol.com.jo">basem@nol.com.jo</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>Transparency International – Kenya PO Box 198, 00200 City Square Nairobi, Kenya Phone +254 20 72 77 63 / 65 Fax +254 2 72 95 30 <a href="mailto:transparencyck@yahoo.org">transparencyck@yahoo.org</a> <a href="http://www.ti-kenya.org">www.ti-kenya.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea South</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>Transparency International – Korea (South) 209 Naengchon-Dong, Seodaeman-Gu, Seoul 120-050, Korea Bishop Seong-Soo Kim Chairperson, Board of Directors Phone +82 2 393 6211 Fax +82 2 393 6212 <a href="mailto:tijk@ti.or.kr">tijk@ti.or.kr</a> Mr. Geo-Sung Kim, Board Member Phone +82 2 739 2260 Fax +82 2 739 2270 <a href="mailto:gsk@ti.or.kr">gsk@ti.or.kr</a> <a href="http://www.ti.or.kr">www.ti.or.kr</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyrgyzstan</td>
<td>National Chapter in Formation</td>
<td>Future without Corruption / TI Kyrgyzstan Molodaya Gvardia 27, Floor 3, Bishkek, The Kyrgyz Republic Ms. Aigul Amaktanova, Executive Director Phone/Fax +996 312 65-59-21 Mobile +996 50 23 40263 <a href="mailto:tijk@ti.kg">tijk@ti.kg</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>Ti-Latvia DELNA, Brutnisku ieta 27 - 38 LV1001 Riga, Latvia Mr. Roberts Patnis, Chair Phone +371 2858 585 Fax +371 2858 584 Tijig delna.lv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>National Chapter</td>
<td>The Lebanese Transparency Association Bank El-Rif Square, Baaklini Center, 4th Floor P.O. Box 50-552, Ain El Remmaneh, Baabda Lebanon Phone +961 1 293 045 / +961 1 282 238 Fax +961 1 490 375 <a href="mailto:info@transparency-lebanon.org">info@transparency-lebanon.org</a> <a href="http://www.transparency-lebanon.org">www.transparency-lebanon.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>National Chapter in Formation</td>
<td>Transparency International Lithuania Didzioji 5, S-311 02128 Vilnius, Lithuania Mr. Rytis Jusupavičius, Executive Director Phone/Fax +370 5 212 691 Fax +370 5 212 1677 <a href="mailto:rytis@transparency.lt">rytis@transparency.lt</a> <a href="http://www.transparency.lt/">www.transparency.lt/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contact Transparency International's National Chapters  M - S

FYR Macedonia  National Chapter in Formation  Transparency International - FYR Macedonia  Mr Zoran Jachex, President  Phone/Fax + 389 2 322 7000  jachex@transparency.org.mk

Madagascar  National Chapter  Transparency International - Initiative Madagascar  Mr Azeddine Akkabi, Secretary General  Phone + 212 22 542 699  + 212 22 451 391  transparency@menara.ma  www.transparencymaroc.org

Mauritius  National Chapter  Transparency Mauritius  Mr Roberto Eisenmann Jr, Chairman  Mr Michael Manning, Executive Director  Phone + 675 223 8581  + 675 271 8917  transparency@intnet.ne  www.transparencymauritius.intnet.mu

Mexico  National Chapter  Transparencia Mexicana  Mr Roberto Courtney, Executive Director  Mrs Angélica Maytin Justiniani, Mr Roberto Eisenmann Jr, Chairman  Phone + 595 223 4120  + 595 223 4122  + 595 223 4124  + 595 223 4125  tipng@daltron.com.pg  www.transparencypng.org.pg

Moldova  National Chapter  Transparency International - Moldova  Mr Valery Rondeli, President  Phone/Fax + 373 22 23 485  + 373 22 23 876  office@transparency.md  www.transparency.md

NEPAL  National Chapter  Transparency International Nepal  Mr Ashish Thapa  Phone + 977 1 436 462  + 977 1 4420 412  trans3@timealp.net  www.timealp.net

Netherlands  National Chapter in Formation  TI Netherlands  Mr Paul Verloop, Board Member  Phone + 31 10 408 1535  + 31 10 408 9195  verlooop@law.eur.nl  www.transparency.nl

New Zealand  National Chapter  Transparency International New Zealand  Mr Shane Cave, Executive Officer  Phone + 64 4 475 6309  + 64 4 905 7928  + 64 4 475 6308  www.timealp.net

Nicaragua  National Chapter in Formation  Planes de Altamira El Cenit  Mr Roberto Courtney, Executive Director  ey@libbew.com.ni  www.ey.org.ni

Nigeria  National Chapter  Transparency In Nigeria  Plot 1269 Arthur Umehe, Area 11 GARK, Suite No. 206 Second Floor  Abuja / Nigeria  Mr H. Asobie, President  Phone + 234 9 3149355/6  tinnigeria@yahoo.com

Norway  National Chapter  Transparency International Norway  Mr Jan Borgen, National Director  + 47 2283 4800  + 47 2201 2202  www.transparency.no

Pakistan  National Chapter  Transparency International Pakistan  Mr Syed Adil Gilani, Vice Chairman and CEO  Phone + 92 21 580 3518  + 92 21 455 9152  adm@gmail.com  www.transparency.org.pk

Panama  National Chapter  Fundación para la Libertad Ciudadana Urbanización Nuevo Paillita, Calle 59, Casa #25  B10-266 Ciudad de Panamá 10, Panama  Mr Roberto Eisenmann Jr, Chairman  Mr Fernando Berquido, Vice Chairman  Ms Angelica Maytin Justiniani, Executive President  Phone + 595 223 4120  + 595 223 4122  + 595 223 4124  + 595 223 4125  www.proetica.org.pe

Paraguay  National Chapter  Transparency Paraguay  Defensa Nacional 849 c/ Padre Cardozo 3r Piso, Ofic. 12, Asunción, Paraguay  Ms Maria del Pilar Gallaz, President  Phone/Fax + 595 21 228 194  transpar@telesurf.com.py  www.transparencia.org.py

Perú  National Chapter  Proética  Consejo Nacional para la Ética Pública  Marca Cacop 826  Miraflores, Lima 18, Perú  Mr S. Cecilia Blondet, Executive Director  cl@proetica.org.pe  www.proetica.org.pe

Philippines  National Chapter  Transparency International Philippines  2nd Floor, UP WILCOLO Bldg.  Manilla Lions Road, Arroceros St. Manilla 1000, Philippines  Ms Dolores Español, Chairperson  Fax + 47 228 9243  + 47 228 9243  ti@transparency.org.pl  www.transparency.pl

Poland  National Chapter  Transparency International Poland  Mr H. Asobie, President  Phone + 976 11 35 05 43  + 976 11 35 05 44  www.ti-mongolia@magicnet.mn

Romania  National Chapter  Asociația Română pentru Transparenta/Transparency International Romania  Mr S. Ceicu, Sector 1 Bucharest, Romania  Mr Victor Alătariu, Executive Director  Phone + 44 20 317 7120  + 44 20 317 7120  office@transparency.ro  www.transparency.ro

Russian Federation  National Chapter  Transparency International Russia  Mr H. Asobie, President  Phone/Fax + 976 11 35 05 43  + 976 11 35 05 44  www.ti-mongolia@magicnet.mn

Senegal  National Chapter  Forum Civil  Mr H. Asobie, President  Phone + 976 11 35 05 43  + 976 11 35 05 44  www.ti-mongolia@magicnet.mn
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Contact Transparency International’s National Chapters S - Z

Serbia and Montenegro
National Chapter
Transparency Serbia / Transparency Montenegro
Ulitsa 29. novembra, 36/1
11000 Beograd, Serbia
tsj@transparency.net.yu
www.transparency.net.yu

Sierra Leone National Contact
National Accountability Group (NAG)
P.O. Box 1312, 18 Dundas Street, Freetown,
Sierra Leone, West Africa
Mrs Zainab Hawa Bangura, Executive Director
Phone + 232 22 240995
Fax + 232 22 240504
zbangura@sierratel.sl
zbangura@accountability-sl.org
nag@sierratel.sl
www.accountability-sl.org

Slovak Republic National Chapter
Transparency International Slovakia
Bajkalská 25,
82178 Bratislava, Slovak Republic
Ms Emília Sicáková-Beblavá, President
Phone + 421 53 41 10 20
Fax + 421 53 41 32 07
email@transparency.sk
www.transparency.sk

Solomon Islands National Chapter
National Chapter in Formation
Transparency Solomon Islands
PO Box 1965,
Honiara, Solomon Islands
Mr Paul Roungham, Chair
Phone/Fax +677-28319
transparency@si@gmail.com

South Africa National Chapter
Transparency South Africa
114 Rissik Street
Braamfontein, 2017
Johannesburg, South Africa
Mr Hassen Lorgat
Phone +27 11 403 7746
Fax + 27 11 403 4966
hlorgang@sangoco.org.za

Spain National Chapter in Formation
Transparency International España
Fundación José Ortega y Gasset
Calle Fortuny, 53, 28013 Madrid, Spain
Mr Jesús Uzcátegui Urquilla, Chairman
Phone +34 91 700 41 05
Fax + 34 91 365 51 69
transparency@transparencia.org.es
www.transparencia.org.es

Sri Lanka National Chapter
Transparency International Sri Lanka
102/11 1/1, Kithulwatta Road,
Colombo 8, Sri Lanka
Mr J. C. Weliambana, Executive Director
Phone/Fax + 94 11 2688327
Phone + 94 11 2688328
tisl@street.lk
www.tisl.lanka.org

Sweden National Chapter in Formation
Transparency International Sweden
Postal: Transparency International Sweden
c/o Föreningen Svenskar i Välden
Box 5801, SE-114 85 Stockholm, Sweden
Physical: Storgatan 19, 114 51 Stockholm
Mr Örjan Berner, Chair
Phone +46 8 791 40 40
info@transparency.se
www.transparency.se

Switzerland National Chapter
Transparency International Switzerland
Schwarzstrasse 18
P.O. Box 8509, CH-3001 Bern, Switzerland
Mr Philippe Levy, Chair
Ms Anne Schwobél, Managing Director
Phone +41 31 382 3550
Fax +41 31 382 3045
info@transparency.ch
www.transparency.ch

Taiwan National Chapter in Formation
Transparency Taiwan
Department of Public Policy & Management,
Shih-Hsin University
1, Lane 17, Sec. 1, Mnta-ku Rd.,
Taipei, Taiwan
Mr Wehwa Chen, Office Director
Phone +886 2 2366-8225 extension 3461/3462
Fax +886 2 2366-3323
transparency@t-taiwan.org
www.t-taiwan.org

Thailand National Chapter
Transparency Thailand
118 Soi Thong Road
Klong Chiang
Bangkapi, Bangkok 10240, Thailand
Dr Juree Vichit-Vadakan, Secretary-General
Phone +66 2 377 7206
Fax +66 2 377 7299
juree@nida.nida.ac.th
www.transparency-thailand.org

Tiradon and Tobago National Chapter
The Trinidad & Tobago Transparency Institute
P.O. Box 6364, Upper Santa Cruz
Trinidad and Tobago, W.I.
Mr G. Boyd Reid, Secretary
Phone +1 868 676 7793
Mobile +1 868 753 5421
boyrdreid@ttii.tt
ttii@ttii.tt

Turkey National Chapter
Toplumsal Sadamlık Hareketi Derneği / Transparency International Turkey
Mbaaçiz Osmanbey Sokak No. 46
Silivri/Istanbul, Turkey
Mr Erçis Kurkulu
Phone +90 212 291 3530
Fax +90 212 291 3531
saydamlik@superonline.com
saydamlik@ttii.net.tr
www.saydamlik.org

Uganda National Chapter
Transparency Uganda
P.O. Box 24335, De waterfront Road,
Kampala, Uganda
Mr Charles Mubale
Phone +256 41 25 58 36
Fax +256 41 25 60 50
mubale@transparencyuganda.org

United Kingdom National Chapter
Transparency International UK
3rd Floor, Downstream Building
1 London Bridge
London SE1 9BG, UK
Mr Laurence Cockcroft, Chair
Mr Chandrashekar Krishnan, Executive Director
chandrashekar.krishnan@transparency.org.uk
Phone +44 20 7785 6356
Fax +44 20 7785 6355
info@transparency.org.uk
www.transparency.org.uk

Ukraine National Chapter
Contact Information through the TI International Secretariat

United States of America National Chapter
Transparency International USA
1023 15th Street, NW
Suite 300, Washington, DC 20005, USA
Mr Alan Larson, Chairman
Mrs Nancy Boswell, President and Managing Director
transparency@transparency-usa.org
www.transparency-usa.org

Vanuatu National Chapter in Formation
Transparency International Vanuatu
Bougainville House
PO Box 335, Port Vila, Vanuatu
Ms Marie-Noelle Ferrieux-Patterson, President
Phone +678 25716
Fax +678 25716
tiv@vanuatu.com.vu

Venezuela National Chapter
Transparencia Venezuela
Edl Cámara de Comercio de Caracas,
Plaza 2, Of. 2-15
Los Caobos, Caracas, Venezuela
Ms Mercedes de Freitas, Executive Director
Phone +58 212 576 0863
Fax +58 212 573 3134
comunicaciones@transparenciavenezuela.org.ve
www.transparenciavenezuela.org.ve

Yemen National Chapter in Formation
Transparency Yemen, Forum for Civil Society
P.O. Box 19458
Hadda Towers, Building No. 7, 3rd Floor,
Flat No. 5, Sanaa, Yemen
Mr Jamal Adimi, President
Phone +967 1 421 480
Fax +967 1 421 484
ejamili@y.net.ye
fcs-TI@y.net.ye

Zambia National Chapter
TI-Zambia
Stand no 3880
Kwacha Road
Olympia Park
PO Box 37475
Lusaka, Zambia
Mr Goodwill Lungu, Executive Director
Phone +260 1 290 080
Fax +260 1 293 649
tizambia@zamnet.zm

Zimbabwe National Chapter
96 Central Avenue
Harare, Zimbabwe
Dr Goodwill Shana
Phone +263 4 793 246
Fax +263 4 793 247
tiz@nwecbo.zw
www.transparency.org.zw

The chapter accreditation system has three steps. A National Contact enters into an agreement with TI to develop a National Chapter in its country. After fulfilling specific requirements and demonstrating its ability to perform, the National Contact may become a National Chapter in Formation, earning the right to use the TI name and logo. After approximately two years of successful work, it may be accredited as a National Chapter, with voting rights at the Annual Membership Meeting. In order to maintain its status, a National Chapter participates in a tri-annual Accreditation Review, where performance and adherence to TI principles are evaluated by Transparency International’s Board of Directors. The designations National Contact, National Chapter in Formation, and National Chapter do not imply any view on TI’s part as to sovereignty or independent status. They are used to clarify an organisation’s accreditation status within the Transparency International movement. In countries where there is no TI presence, a locally based organisation may be designated as a Local Partner. Contact information for these partner organisations may be obtained through the TI Secretariat.
Huguette Labelle
Chair
Canada

Huguette Labelle holds a PhD in Education and is a Companion of the Order of Canada. She served as Deputy Head of several Canadian government departments, including as President of the Canadian International Development Agency. She is Chancellor of the University of Ottawa and serves on the boards of several international organisations. She is Co-Chair of the National Advisory Committee for the World Urban Forum and of the Task Force on China’s Environment and Development Review and Prospect, Vice President of the International Union for Conservation of Nature, and Board member of the UN Global Compact. Ms. Labelle was elected as TI’s Chair in 2005.

Akere T. Muna
Vice Chair
Cameroon

Akere T. Muna is founder and former President of Transparency International Cameroon. A lawyer by training, he is President of the Pan AfriCan Lawyers' Union and former president of the Cameroon Bar Association. He is a member of several national commissions on legal reform and curbing corruption, including the National Ad-Hoc Commission for the Fight against Corruption. He was actively involved in the TI working group that helped to draft the African Union Convention on Preventing and Combating Corruption, and is the author of a guide to the convention. He was elected Vice Chair of TI’s Board in 2005.

Sion Assidon
Morocco

Sion Assidon, a mathematician and economist by training and a businessman by profession, was Transparency Maroc’s founding Secretary General and is currently a member of its National Council. Mr Assidon was imprisoned from 1972 to 1984 for campaigning against autocracy in Morocco. He is active in several civil society organisations in the Moroccan civil rights movement, including AMRASH, which works for sustainable development in villages of the Atlas mountains, and Espace Associatif, which promotes the work of civil society in Morocco. Mr Assidon was elected to TI’s Board in 2005.

Nancy Boswell
United States

Nancy Boswell has been TI USA’s Managing Director since 1994, shortly after its founding, and is now its President. A lawyer, she has practiced public international and trade law, promoted women’s and civil rights and advised on international financial matters. She is on the board of MAPCT, a capacity-building civil society organisation, the International Senior Lawyers Project, which provides pro bono advisory services on human rights and democracy, and co-chair of an American Bar Association committee on corruption. She is a civil society advisor to the US State Department and the US Trade Representative. She was elected to TI’s Board in 2003.

Jermyn Brooks
United Kingdom

Jermyn Brooks joined Price Waterhouse in 1962, and was a global managing partner of PricewaterhouseCoopers on his retirement in 2000. The same year he joined the TI Secretariat as Chief Financial Officer. He became increasingly involved in TI’s private sector initiatives, including the Wolfberg anti-money laundering principles, the Business Principles for Countering Bribery and the Global Compact and Global Reporting Initiative. Mr Brooks is now responsible for TI’s private sector work. He was elected to the Board in 2003.

Laura Puertas Meyer
Peru

Laura Puertas Meyer is an economist and journalist, and has dedicated herself to human rights, democracy and fighting corruption for the past twenty years. She is General News Director of América TV and Canal N, the leading Peruvian channels. She served from 2002 to 2005 as Executive Director of TI’s chapter in Peru. She worked for The New York Times and El País and for various Peruvian media. In 1993, she co-founded IPYS (Instituto Prensa y Sociedad), to promote investigative journalism in the Andean Region. Laura Puertas Meyer was elected to the Board in 2005.

Frank Vogl
United States

Frank Vogl is a co-founder of TI, and served as Vice Chairman from 1993-2002 and Advisory Council Member from 2003-2005. He is founder and Board Director of the Partnership for Transparency Fund; Board Director of the Ethics Resource Centre; Trustee of the Committee for Economic Development; and International Council Member of the New Israel Fund. He was formerly the World Bank’s Information and Public Affairs Director and has worked as an international journalist, author and lecturer. He is President of Vogl Communications in Washington DC, and publisher of www.EthicsWorld.org. Mr. Vogl was elected to TI’s Board in 2005.

Valeria Merino-Dirani
Ecuador

Valeria Merino-Dirani is a lawyer who has worked for democracy, transparency and civil society in Latin America for more than 20 years. She was executive director of Corporación Latinoamericana para el Desarrollo (CLD), TI’s chapter in Ecuador, and helped to establish a network of chapters in Latin America. In 1995, she was appointed to the Council of the UN University and served as its vice-president. She currently works at the Pan American Development Foundation as Civil Society Senior Advisor. Ms. Merino-Dirani has served on the Board of TI since 2004 and chairs the Membership Accreditation Committee.

Georgie Sekamonde
Botswana

Geo-Sung Kim was the founding Secretary General of TI Korea (South). He is an ordained pastor and holds degrees in theology. He participated in the democracy and human rights movements in Korea and was twice imprisoned for his activities. Reverend Kim has served in various civil society organisations, and in 2006 received a Moran Medal of the Order of Civil Merit from his government for his contributions to the anti-corruption movement. Reverend Kim is a commissioner of the Korea Independent Commission against Corruption and standing executive officer of the K-PACT council. He was elected to TI’s Board in 2004.

Chong San Lee
Malaysia

Chong San Lee has served as Deputy President and President of Transparency International Malaysia. Prior to this, he worked as a tax analyst, and in 1988 was appointed Financial Controller of the Esso Companies in Malaysia. His many responsibilities in this role included overseeing the company’s ethics and business practices, ensuring compliance with company policies and participating in the company’s contracting practices. After retiring from ExxonMobil in 2001, he volunteered and worked in many projects organised by TI-Malaysia. He was elected to TI’s Board in 2005.

Boris Divjak
Bosnia and Herzegovina

Boris Divjak is an economist by profession and holds a Masters degree in International Studies from the University of Zagreb. He has worked as a tax analyst, and in 1988 was appointed Financial Controller of the Esso Companies in Bosnia and Herzegovina. He has researched and designed recommendations for legislation; trained government officials, civil society organisations and the media; and prepared and implemented surveys and polls, including monitoring and analysis. He has worked in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Serbia & Montenegro, Kosovo and Albania. Mr. Divjak was elected to the TI Board in 2005.

Frank Vogl
United States

Frank Vogl is a co-founder of TI, and served as Vice Chairman from 1993-2002 and Advisory Council Member from 2003-2005. He is founder and Board Director of the Partnership for Transparency Fund; Board Director of the Ethics Resource Centre; Trustee of the Committee for Economic Development; and International Council Member of the New Israel Fund. He was formerly the World Bank’s Information and Public Affairs Director and has worked as an international journalist, author and lecturer. He is President of Vogl Communications in Washington DC, and publisher of www.EthicsWorld.org. Mr. Vogl was elected to TI’s Board in 2005.

Reverend Kim has served in various civil society organisations, including as President of the Cameroon Bar Association. He is a member of several national commissions on legal reform and curbing corruption, including the National Ad-Hoc Commission for the Fight against Corruption. He was actively involved in the TI working group that helped to draft the African Union Convention on Preventing and Combating Corruption, and is the author of a guide to the convention. He was elected Vice Chair of TI’s Board in 2005.

Sion Assidon, a mathematician and economist by training and a businessman by profession, was Transparency Maroc’s founding Secretary General and is currently a member of its National Council. Mr Assidon was imprisoned from 1972 to 1984 for campaigning against autocracy in Morocco. He is active in several civil society organisations in the Moroccan civil rights movement, including AMRASH, which works for sustainable development in villages of the Atlas mountains, and Espace Associatif, which promotes the work of civil society in Morocco. Mr Assidon was elected to TI’s Board in 2005.

Nancy Boswell has been TI USA’s Managing Director since 1994, shortly after its founding, and is now its President. A lawyer, she has practiced public international and trade law, promoted women’s and civil rights and advised on international financial matters. She is on the board of MAPCT, a capacity-building civil society organisation, the International Senior Lawyers Project, which provides pro bono advisory services on human rights and democracy, and co-chair of an American Bar Association committee on corruption. She is a civil society advisor to the US State Department and the US Trade Representative. She was elected to TI’s Board in 2003.
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Major Corruption Stories of 2005

Major Anti-Corruption Successes of 2005

The Independent Inquiry into the United Nations Oil for Food Programme implicated 2,203 companies around the world in alleged corruption.

Twelve senior officials from the interim Iraqi government were arrested for alleged embezzlement of more than US $6 billion.

Korean President Moon Ki-bak faced challenges to his anti-corruption pledges with the surfacing of the go-between scandal and the Galleonstock (Korea)

Washington lobbyist Jack Abramoff admitted preserving gifts to U.S. lawmakers to influence legislation in Canada.

South African Deputy President J. B. Zuma was forced out of office and indicted for corruption after his financial adviser was convicted of fraud in helping him secure business deals.

The EU Millennium Development Goals for reducing poverty are not being met.

The European Commission placed higher priority on candidate countries’ transparency and accountability in decisions on accession.

Elban Johnson-Stritzel was elected President of Liberia on a strong pledge to root out corruption in Africa’s oldest republic.

The international community increasingly recognized that corruption must be tackled if the UN’s Millennium Development Goals for reducing poverty are to be met.

International institutions increased the transparency of aid on a significant scale.

The Group of Eight nations made considerable and detailed proposals that— if followed through— would achieve considerable reductions in financing and confronting and stamping out pervasive corruption in Africa.

The Organisation of American States’ Secretary General made tackling corruption a higher priority, noting that it is essential to the development of democracy and rebuilding trust in government.

Business became more aware of the need to fight corruption. Companies committed to an anti-corruption initiative, and the total to 91.

The Advisory Council comprises individuals with extensive experience and recognised expertise in governance, law, politics, policy and research, and transparency.

Transparency International would like to thank all those who volunteered their time, skills and expertise in order to make the Global Corruption Report possible.
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Transparency International is the global civil society organisation leading the fight against corruption.